Scaling properties of the projected SO(5) model in three dimensions

M. Jöstingmeier, A. Dorneich, E. Arrigoni, W. Hanke, and Shou-Cheng Zhang

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Würzburg, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany
Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, 94305 California, USA

(Received 29 August 2003; published 12 December 2003)

We study the scaling properties of the quantum projected SO(5) model in three dimensions by means of a highly accurate Monte Carlo analysis. Within the parameter regime studied (temperature and system size), we show that the scaling behavior is consistent with a SO(5)-symmetric critical behavior in the numerically accessible region. This holds both when the symmetry breaking is caused by quantum fluctuations only as well as when also the static (mean-field) symmetry is moderately broken. We argue that possible departure away from the SO(5)-symmetric scaling occurs only in an extremely narrow parameter regime, which is inaccessible both experimentally and numerically.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A common feature of the phase diagram of most high-$T_c$ superconductors (HTSC) is the close proximity of the superconducting (SC) and the antiferromagnetic (AF) phases. The SO(5) theory of HTSC describes the transition between these two phases by an effective quantum nonlinear $\sigma$ model with approximate SO(5) symmetry, which unifies the two order parameters. Several microscopic SO(5)-symmetric models have been proposed which successfully describe many features of the cuprate physics. In this paper, we address this issue by a detailed numerical analysis of the phase diagram and of the critical properties of the three-dimensional (3D) pSO(5) model. Our strategy is, thus, to start from a model in which SO(5) symmetry is realistically broken and to investigate to what extent this symmetry is restored at the multicritical point. Our main results are twofold. Within the system sizes and temperature ranges we can achieve, the scaling behavior is consistent with an SO(5) critical behavior. On the other hand, since the SO(5) fixed point could be ultimately unstable, we make an analytical estimate — based on the $\epsilon$ expansion — of the size of the critical region in which deviations from the SO(5) behavior should be observed. Due to the small crossover exponent it turns out that the unstable flow only takes effect when the reduced temperature measured from the bicritical point is very small. Therefore, the possible unstable effect can neither be observed experimentally nor numerically. For all practical purposes, the multicritical point is dominated by the initial flow towards the SO(5)-symmetric behavior. Again, this situation is very similar to the case of Fermi liquids. Due to the well-known Kohn-Luttinger effect, the Fermi-liquid fixed point is always unstable towards a SC state. However, this effect is experimentally irrelevant for most metals since it only works at exponentially low temperatures.

II. THE MODEL

In the pSO(5) model each coarse-grained lattice site represents a plaquette of the original lattice model, and the modulus follows a scaling law determined by the corresponding SO(5) critical exponent $\nu_5$ and by the crossover exponent $\phi$. For these exponents, Hu could find a good agreement with the results of the $\epsilon$ expansion. On the other hand it was pointed out by Aharony via a rigorous argument that the decoupled fixed point is stable, and he further suggested that neither the biconical nor the SO(5) fixed points are ultimately stable. However, he also pointed out that the possible unstable flow away from the symmetric point is extremely slow for the SO(5) case due to the small crossover exponent.

In this paper, we address this issue by a detailed numerical analysis of the phase diagram and of the critical properties of the three-dimensional (3D) pSO(5) model. Our strategy is, thus, to start from a model in which SO(5) symmetry is realistically broken and to investigate to what extent this symmetry is restored at the multicritical point. Our main results are twofold. Within the system sizes and temperature ranges we can achieve, the scaling behavior is consistent with an SO(5) critical behavior. On the other hand, since the SO(5) fixed point could be ultimately unstable, we make an analytical estimate — based on the $\epsilon$ expansion — of the size of the critical region in which deviations from the SO(5) behavior should be observed. Due to the small crossover exponent it turns out that the unstable flow only takes effect when the reduced temperature measured from the bicritical point is very small. Therefore, the possible unstable effect can neither be observed experimentally nor numerically. For all practical purposes, the multicritical point is dominated by the initial flow towards the SO(5)-symmetric behavior. Again, this situation is very similar to the case of Fermi liquids. Due to the well-known Kohn-Luttinger effect, the Fermi-liquid fixed point is always unstable towards a SC state. However, this effect is experimentally irrelevant for most metals since it only works at exponentially low temperatures.
lowest-energy state on the plaquette is a spin singlet state at half filling. There are four types of excitations, namely, three magnon modes and a hole-pair mode. Their dynamics are described by the following Hamiltonian:

\[ \hat{H} = \Delta, \sum_{x,a=1,2,3,4} t^{(1)}_a(x) t^\dagger_a(x) + (\Delta - 2\mu) \sum_{x} t^{(2)}_h(x) t^\dagger_h(x) - J_r \sum_{x,x'} n_a(x)n_a(x') - J_c \sum_{x,x'} (t^{(2)}_a(x) t^\dagger_h(x') + H. c.). \] (1)

Here \( t^{(1)}_a \) annihilates (creates) a triplet state, \( t^{(2)}_h \) annihilates (creates) a hole-pair state and \( n_a = (t^\dagger_a t^\dagger_\dagger_a) / \sqrt{2} \) are the three components of the Néel order parameter. \( \Delta_s \) and \( \Delta_c \) are the energies to create a magnon and a hole-pair excitation, respectively, at vanishing chemical potential \( \mu = 0 \). This model can also be effectively obtained by a coarse-grained reduction of more common models such as \( t-J \) or Hubbard.\(^{12}\) In order to study the effect of symmetry breaking we consider different situations associated with different values of parameters. First, we consider the case where \( J_r = J = J_c / 2 \) (our zero of the chemical potential is such that \( \mu = 0 \)).\(^{13}\) It has been shown\(^7\) that this model has a static SO(5) symmetry at the mean-field level and that the symmetry is only broken by quantum fluctuations.\(^{13}\) Since we want to carry out our analysis also for a more realistic model in which also the static SO(5) symmetry is broken, we also consider a system with a different ratio \( J_c / J_r \). In particular, one would like to reproduce the order of magnitude of \( T_c / T_N \) observed in the cuprates, where \( T_c \) denotes the SC critical temperature (Néel temperature). However, this behavior is obtained for \( J_r / J_c < 2 \), for which the numerical simulation is rather unstable, making it impossible to determine the critical exponents with sufficient accuracy. For this reason, we choose a value of the parameter “in between” \( J_r = J_c / J = 1 \) for which also the static SO(5) symmetry is broken.

The phase diagram of this model in two dimensions has been analyzed in detail by a numerical quantum Monte Carlo approach in Ref. 14. In particular, the model has been shown to provide a semiquantitative description of many properties of the HTSC in a consistent way. In Ref. 14, the SC transition has been identified as a Kosterlitz-Thouless phase in which the SC correlations decay algebraically. Unfortunately, there is no such transition for the AF phase in two dimensions; as all AF correlations decay exponentially at finite temperatures. Therefore, in order to analyze the multicritical point where the AF and SC critical lines meet, it is necessary to work in three dimensions, which is what we investigate in the present paper.

III. RESULTS

A. Case \( J_r = J_c / 2 \)

We start by presenting the phase diagram of the 3D pSO(5) model for the “symmetric” case \( J_r = J_c / 2 \). Figure 1 shows an AF and a SC phase extending to finite temperatures as expected. Furthermore, the two phase-transition lines merge into a multicritical point (at \( T_c = 0.960 \pm 0.005 \) and \( \mu = -0.098 \pm 0.001 \)). The line of equal correlation decay of hole pairs and triplet bosons also merges into this multicritical point \( P \). Unlike the corresponding phase in the classical model, the SC phase extends only over a finite range; this is due to the hardcore constraint of the hole-pair bosons and agrees with experimentally determined phase diagrams of the cuprates. In this sense, the quantum-mechanical SO(5) model is more physical than the classical SO(5) model. However, in real cuprates the ratio between the maximum SC temperature \( T_c \) and Néel temperature \( T_N \) is about 0.17 to 0.25, whereas in the pSO(5) model we obtain the values \( T_c / J = 1.465 \pm 0.008 \) at \( \mu / J = 1.7 \) and \( T_N / J = 1.29 \pm 0.01 \), hence \( T_c \) is slightly larger than \( T_N \). In order to obtain realistic values for the transition temperatures, it is necessary to relax the static SO(5) condition and take a smaller value for the ratio \( J_r / (2J_c) \), which breaks SO(5) symmetry even on a mean-field level. The phase diagram with \( J_r / (2J_c) = 0.225 \) is plotted in Fig. 2. As one can see, this gives a more realistic ratio of \( T_N / T_c \approx 0.2 \). However, it should be pointed out that the numerical effort to treat such
different values of $J$ is order of magnitudes larger than considering $J_c$ and $J_f$ of the same order of magnitude, as we have done in Fig. 1. Therefore, we will also consider a system with $J_c = J_f = 1$ for which also the static SO(5) symmetry is broken. For the same reason, we neglect here the $c$-axis anisotropy and consider an isotropic 3D model.

We first carry out an analysis of the critical properties for $J_c/(2J_f) = 1$. A closer look to the phase-transition line between the points $S$ and $P$ reveals (inset of Fig. 1) that this line is not vertical as in the classical SO(5) model but slightly inclined. This indicates that a finite latent heat is stored. This is nontrivial for a system whose critical point the full SO(5) symmetry is approximately restored. This is nontrivial for a system whose SO(5)-symmetry has manifestly been broken by projecting between the points $S$ and $P$.

For crossover behavior with a scaling variable for the bicritical point $m$ means that in contrast to the classical model, $m$ is not a scaling variable for the bicritical point $P$.

### B. Scaling analysis

We now perform a scaling analysis similar to the one performed by Hu (Ref. 4) in a classical SO(5) system. The most important outcome of this analysis will be the strong numerical indication that in a large region around the multicritical point the full SO(5) symmetry is approximately restored. This is nontrivial for a system whose SO(5)-symmetry has manifestly been broken by projecting out all doubly occupied states. First we want to determine the form of the $T_S(m)$ and $T_c(m)$ curves in the vicinity of the bicritical point. For crossover behavior with an exponent $\phi > 1$ one would generally expect that the two curves merge tangentially into the first-order line. However, this holds for the scaling variables, therefore, one should first perform a transformation from the old $\mu$ axis to a new $\mu'$ axis defined by $\mu' = (T - T_b)/m$, where $m \approx 0.11$ is the slope of the first-order line below $T_b$.

After this transformation, the transition curves $T_S(\mu')$ and $T_c(\mu')$ are quite well described by the crossover behavior (we now drop the prime for convenience)

$$\frac{T_c(\mu)}{T_b} - 1 = B_2(\mu - \mu_b)^{1/\phi}$$

$$\frac{T_S(\mu)}{T_b} - 1 = B_3(\mu_b - \mu)^{1/\phi}. \tag{2}\text{ and}$$

The fit to this behavior is shown in more detail in Fig. 3. However, the value of $\phi$ we obtain ($\phi = 2.35$) is considerably larger than the value expected form the $\epsilon$ expansion. It should be noted that the above determination of $\phi$ is not very accurate: the data points in Fig. 3 are the result of a delicate finite-size scaling for lattice sites up to $18^3$ followed by the transformation from $\mu$ to $\mu'$ which again increases the numerical error bars. For this reason it cannot be excluded that the difference in the $\phi$ values is mainly due to statistical and finite-size scaling errors. In fact, a more accurate evaluation of $\phi$ will be provided below.

On the SC side, the finite-size scaling carried out in order to extract the order parameter and the transition temperature turns out to be quite reliable. On the other hand, on the AF side, the fluctuations in the particle numbers of the three triplet bosons slightly increase the statistical errors of the quantum (MC) results and make the finite-size scaling more difficult.

The critical exponents for the onset of AF and SC order as a function of temperature for various chemical potentials can be extracted from Fig. 3. Far into the SC range, at $\mu = 1.5$, we find for the SC helicity modulus

$$Y \approx (1 - T/T_c)^\nu$$

with $\nu = 0.66 \pm 0.02$, which matches very well the values obtained by the $\epsilon$ expansion and by numerical analyses of a 3D XY model. On the AF side, error bars are larger as discussed above. We obtain for the AF order parameter

$$C_{AF}(\infty) \approx (1 - T/T_c)^{\beta_3}$$

with $\beta_3 = 0.35 \pm 0.03$, for $\mu = -2.25$, also in accordance with the value expected for a 3D classical Heisenberg model.

In order to determine $\nu$ and $\phi$ more accurately in the crossover regime, we use two expressions derived from the scaling behavior (cf. Ref. 4).

$$Y(T_b, \mu)/Y(T, \mu') = [(\mu - \mu_b)/(\mu' - \mu_b)]^{1/\phi} \tag{3}$$

and

$$\phi = \frac{\ln \frac{\mu_2 - \mu_b}{\mu_1 - \mu_b}}{\ln \left( \frac{\partial^2 Y(T, \mu_1')}{\partial T^2} \right)_{T = T_b} / \ln \left( \frac{\partial^2 Y(T, \mu_2')}{\partial T^2} \right)_{T = T_b}} \tag{4}$$

where $\mu_1$, $\mu_1'$, $\mu_2$, and $\mu_2'$ are related by $(\mu_1 - \mu_b)/(\mu_1' - \mu_b) = (\mu_2 - \mu_b)/(\mu_2' - \mu_b) > 0$. The result is shown in Fig. 4; we obtain the ratio
which is in excellent accordance with the results of the $\epsilon$ expansion and other numerical analyses. $^4$ $\phi$ is then obtained by using Eq. (4). We have applied Eq. (4) onto nine different combinations of $(\mu_1, \mu'_1 = \mu_2, \mu'_2)$ values with $\mu_1/\mu'_1 = \mu_2/\mu'_2 = 0.5$. The result is

$$\phi = 1.43 \pm 0.05,$$

which is again in good agreement with the $\epsilon$ expansion for a SO(5) bicritical point and with the results of Ref. 4.

C. Case $J_c = J_h$

This agreement between the critical exponents obtained in the preceding section may not come completely as a surprise, since the SO(5) symmetry is only broken by quantum fluctuations for the parameter we have taken. The question we want to address now is whether SO(5) symmetry is also asymptotically restored for a more realistic set of parameters for which the static SO(5) symmetry is broken as well. As already mentioned above, the case, where the phase diagram of the cuprates is qualitatively well reproduced [$J_c/(2J_h) = 0.225$, see Fig. 2], is too difficult to address numerically, so that the critical exponents cannot be determined with sufficient precision in this case. Therefore, we repeat our analysis for the model in an intermediate regime ($J_c = J_h$), which is not so realistic but for which the static SO(5) symmetry is broken as well. One could hope that if SO(5) symmetry is restored for here, then it might be also restored for the case $J_c/(2J_h) = 0.225$, although one may expect that the asymptotic region in which this occurs will be less extended. We stress again the fact that eventually one should expect the system to flow away from the SO(5) fixed point, although in a very small critical region. $^9$

The phase diagram for $J_c = J_h$ is presented in Fig. 5 and a detailed view of the region close to the bicritical point is plotted in Fig. 6. Here, the points in the plots were obtained by a finite-size scaling with lattices up to 5032 (18$^3$) sites. In some cases, we were able to simulate lattices up to 10 648 (22$^3$) sites. An example of the finite-size scaling is shown in Fig. 7. Our analysis yields $T_b = 0.682 \pm 0.005$ and $\mu_b = 0.548 \pm 0.0005$. Here the line of equal correlation decay is vertical within the error bars, so the transformation from $\mu$ to
\( \mu' \) is not necessary and the error bars are not increased by the transformation. This allows to determine the critical exponents by fitting the data points visible in Fig. 6 against 
\[ T(\mu) = T_b^\text{c} (1 + (B_2 + B_3^2 \text{sgn}(\mu_b - \mu))^5 |x - \mu_b|^{1/\phi}). \]
We obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
B_2 &= 0.47 \pm 0.07, \\
B_3 &= 0.11 \pm 0.04, \\
\phi &= 1.49 \pm 0.18, \\
T_b &= 0.683 \pm 0.004, \\
\frac{B_2}{B_3} &= 1.67 \pm 0.36. 
\end{align*}
\]
Since points further away from the bicritical point are expected to show a larger deviation from the bicritical behavior, we also performed a weighted fit, which takes this fact into account. Here, data points closer to the bicritical point are weighted more than the ones further away. Specifically, in both the SC and the AF phase, the point closest to the bicritical point is weighted six times the one with the largest distance to the bicritical point. The second closest is weighted five times and so on. The results are, within the error bars, quite similar to the ones obtained without this different weighting procedure:
\[
\begin{align*}
B_2 &= 0.46 \pm 0.05, \\
B_3 &= 0.11 \pm 0.03, \\
\phi &= 1.53 \pm 0.12, \\
T_b &= 0.682 \pm 0.003, \\
\frac{B_2}{B_3} &= 1.61 \pm 0.23. 
\end{align*}
\]
The agreement between Eqs. (5)–(9) and Eqs. (10)–(14) suggests that the data we have considered are still controlled by the bicritical point.

In order to test whether alternatively proposed fixed points may be excluded, we carried out a least-square fit of our data to the decoupled fixpoint behavior (\( \phi = 1, B_2, B_3, \) and \( T_b \) arbitrary). The results are shown in Fig. 6 (dashed-dotted line). As one can see from the curve, our data do not support this hypothesis in the numerically accessible region.

**IV. Discussion and Conclusions**

Altogether, the scaling analysis of the 3D pSO(5) model has produced a crossover exponent which matches quite well with the corresponding value obtained from a classical SO(5) model and from the \( \epsilon \) expansion. This gives convincing evidence that the static correlation functions at the p SO(5) multicritical point is controlled by a fully SO(5) symmetric point in a large parameter region which is relevant experimentally and in the numerically accessible region. However, one should point out that within the statistical and finite-size error, as well as within the error due to the extrapolation of the \( \epsilon \)-expansion value to \( \epsilon = 1 \) one cannot exclude that the actual fixed point one approaches is the biconical one, which has very similar exponents to the isotropic SO(5) one. On the other hand, the biconical fixed point should be accompanied by a AF+SC coexistence region (as a function of chemical potential), which we do not observe. As discussed above we can certainly exclude in this transient region the decoupled fixed point for which \( \phi = 1.9 \). Of course, our limited system sizes cannot tell which fixed point would be ultimately stable in the deep asymptotic region. Here, Aharony’s exact statement shows that the decoupled fixed point should be ultimately the stable one in the deep asymptotic region.\(^9\)

We argue that the resolution between this exact result and the numerically observed SO(5) critical behavior lies in the size of the critical region.\(^9\) We now give an estimate, based on \( \epsilon \) expansion, for the scale at which the instability of the SO(5) fixed point could be detectable. This estimate holds for the case in which one has a “static” SO(5) symmetry at the mean-field level. The symmetry-breaking effects due to quantum fluctuations have been estimated in Ref. 13 and are given by Eq. (36) there. By replacing the initial conditions for the bare couplings in terms of the microscopic parameters of the Hamiltonian (cf. Eq. 26 of Ref. 13), and projecting along the different scaling variables around the SO(5) fixed point, one obtains a quite small projection along the variable that scales away from the fixed point. Combined with the fact that the exponent for this scaling variables is quite small (\( \lambda = 1/13 \) at the lowest order in the \( \epsilon \) expansion, although more accurate estimates\(^{16–18}\) give a somewhat larger value of \( \lambda \approx 0.3 \)), we obtain an estimate for the scaling region in which the SO(5) fixed point is replaced by another — e.g., the biconical or the decoupled — fixed point at \( t = (T_b - T)/T_b \sim 10^{-10} \) if one takes the \( O(\epsilon) \) result for the exponent. Note that taking the result of Ref. 17 for the exponent, one obtains a quite larger value \( t \sim 2 \times 10^{-3} \). However, since the multicritical temperatures of relevant materials (organic conductors, and, more recently, \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.33} \)) are around 10 K, the critical region is still basically unaccessible experimentally as well as with our quantum simulation. On the other hand, the other scaling variables, although being initially of the order of 1, rapidly scale to zero due to the large, negative, exponents. Therefore, the SO(5) regime starts to become important as soon as the AF and SC correlation lengths become large and it continues to affect the scaling behavior of the system basically in the whole accessible region.

In conclusion, we have shown numerically that the p SO(5) model, which combines the idea of SO(5) symmetry with a realistic treatment of the Hubbard gap, reproduces the salient features of the cuprate’s phase diagram. Furthermore, this model is controlled by a SO(5) symmetric bicritical point, at least within a large transient region. We have shown that this also holds for a case in which the static SO(5) symmetry is broken. Possible flow away from the symmetric fix point occurs only within an extremely narrow region in reduced temperature, making it impossible to observe both experimentally and numerically. We would like to point out that this situation is very similar to many other examples in...
condensed-matter physics. The ubiquitous Fermi-liquid fix point is strictly speaking always unstable because of the Kohn-Luttinger effect.\textsuperscript{11} But for most metals this instability occurs only at extremely low temperatures, and is practically irrelevant. Another example is the “ordinary” superconductor to normal-state transition at $T_c$. Strictly speaking, coupling to the fluctuating electromagnetic field renders this fix point unstable.\textsuperscript{19} However, this effect has never been observed experimentally, since the associated critical region is too small. Therefore, irrespective of the question of ultimate stability, we argue that the SO(5) fix point is a robust one in a similar sense, and it controls the physics near the AF and SC transitions.
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