## Dynamics and thermalization in isolated quantum systems

#### Marcos Rigol

Department of Physics The Pennsylvania State University

QCD Hadronization and the Statistical Model ECT\* Trento, Italy October 6, 2014

> With comments by H.G. Evertz

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Dynamics in quantum systems

October 6, 2014 1 / 34

A (10) > A (10) > A (10)

## Outline



#### Summary

## Experiments with ultracold gases in 1D



Effective one-dimensional  $\delta$  potential M. Olshanii, PRL **81**, 938 (1998).

 $U_{1D}(x) = g_{1D}\delta(x)$ 

where

$$g_{1D} = \frac{2\hbar a_s \omega_\perp}{1 - C a_s \sqrt{\frac{m\omega_\perp}{2\hbar}}}$$

## Experiments with ultracold gases in 1D



Girardeau '60, Lieb and Liniger '63

- T. Kinoshita, T. Wenger, and D. S. Weiss, Science **305**, 1125 (2004).
- T. Kinoshita, T. Wenger, and D. S. Weiss, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 190406 (2005).

$$\gamma_{\rm eff} = rac{mg_{1D}}{\hbar^2 
ho}$$

Effective one-dimensional  $\delta$  potential M. Olshanii, PRL **81**, 938 (1998).

$$U_{1D}(x) = g_{1D}\delta(x)$$

where

$$g_{1D} = \frac{2\hbar a_s \omega_\perp}{1 - C a_s \sqrt{\frac{m\omega_\perp}{2\hbar}}}$$



Marcos Rigol (Penn State)





MR, A. Muramatsu, and M. Olshanii, Phys. Rev. A 74, 053616 (2006).



T. Kinoshita, T. Wenger, and D. S. Weiss, Nature **440**, 900 (2006). MR, A. Muramatsu, and M. Olshanii, Phys. Rev. A **74**, 053616 (2006).



Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Dynamics in quantum systems



T. Kinoshita, T. Wenger, and D. S. Weiss, Nature **440**, 900 (2006).

 $\gamma = \frac{mg_{1D}}{\hbar^2 \rho}$ 

 $g_{1D}$ : Interaction strength  $\rho$ : One-dimensional density

If  $\gamma \gg 1$  the system is in the strongly correlated Tonks-Girardeau regime

If  $\gamma \ll 1$  the system is in the weakly interacting regime

Gring et al., Science 337, 1318 (2012).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

## Outline

## 1

#### Introduction

- Experiments with ultracold gases
- Unitary evolution and thermalization

### 2 Generic (nonintegrable) systems

- Time evolution vs exact time average
- Statistical description after relaxation
- Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis
- Time fluctuations

#### Integrable systems

- Time evolution
- Generalized Gibbs ensemble

#### Summary

#### **Classical physics:**

Chaotic evolution --> ergodicity (uniform) --> thermal description (Exception: integrable systems: many conserved quantities --> orbits in phase space, not ergodic)

#### Quantum physics:

NOT ergodic ! (only a tiny part of Hilbert space is relevant) Is there thermalization of an isolated system? In what sense ?

Need to consider states, observables, matrix elements

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

#### (Integrable systems again do not thermalize)

## Exact results from quantum mechanics

If the initial state is not an eigenstate of  $\widehat{H}$ 

$$|\psi_0\rangle \neq |\alpha\rangle \quad \text{where} \quad \widehat{H}|\alpha\rangle = E_\alpha |\alpha\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad E_0 = \langle \psi_0 | \widehat{H} | \psi_0 \rangle,$$

then a generic observable O will evolve in time following

$$O(\tau) \equiv \langle \psi(\tau) | \widehat{O} | \psi(\tau) \rangle \quad \text{where} \quad |\psi(\tau)\rangle = e^{-i\widehat{H}\tau} |\psi_0\rangle.$$

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

## Exact results from quantum mechanics

If the initial state is not an eigenstate of  $\widehat{H}$ 

$$|\psi_0\rangle \neq |\alpha\rangle \quad \text{where} \quad \widehat{H}|\alpha\rangle = E_\alpha |\alpha\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad E_0 = \langle \psi_0 | \widehat{H} | \psi_0 \rangle,$$

then a generic observable O will evolve in time following

$$O(\tau) \equiv \langle \psi(\tau) | \hat{O} | \psi(\tau) \rangle \quad \text{where} \quad |\psi(\tau)\rangle = e^{-i \hat{H} \tau} |\psi_0\rangle.$$

What is it that we call thermalization?

 $\overline{O(\tau)} = O(E_0) = O(T) = O(T, \mu).$ 

Thermal expectation value depends only on **a few parameters** !

"diagonal ensemble" =? microcan. =? canonical =? grand canonical ensemble (in therm.dyn. limit)

## Exact results from quantum mechanics

If the initial state is not an eigenstate of H

$$|\psi_0\rangle \neq |\alpha\rangle \quad \text{where} \quad \widehat{H}|\alpha\rangle = E_\alpha |\alpha\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad E_0 = \langle \psi_0 | \widehat{H} | \psi_0 \rangle,$$

then a generic observable O will evolve in time following

$$O(\tau) \equiv \langle \psi(\tau) | \hat{O} | \psi(\tau) \rangle \quad \text{where} \quad |\psi(\tau)\rangle = e^{-i\hat{H}\tau} |\psi_0\rangle.$$

What is it that we call thermalization?

Thermal expectation value depends only on a few parameters  $\overline{O(\tau)} = O(E_0) = O(T) = O(T, \mu).$ 

"diagonal ensemble" =? microcan. =? canonical =? grand canonical ensemble (in therm.dyn. limit) One can rewrite

$$O(\tau) = \sum_{\alpha',\alpha} C^{\star}_{\alpha'} C_{\alpha} e^{i(E_{\alpha'} - E_{\alpha})\tau} O_{\alpha'\alpha} \quad \text{where} \quad |\psi_0\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} C_{\alpha} |\alpha\rangle,$$

and taking the infinite time average (diagonal ensemble) (=definition(!) of "diag. ensemble")

$$\overline{O(\tau)} = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^{\tau} d\tau' \langle \Psi(\tau') | \hat{O} | \Psi(\tau') \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \atop \alpha \in \tau} |C_{\alpha}|^2 O_{\alpha\alpha} \equiv \langle \hat{O} \rangle_{\text{diag}}$$
(since all oscillating terms vanish

which depends on the initial conditions through  $C_{\alpha} = \langle \alpha | \psi_0 \rangle$ . 

#### Many parameters !

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

after integral)

## Width of the energy density after a sudden quench

Initial state  $|\psi_0\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} C_{\alpha} |\alpha\rangle$  is an eigenstate of  $\hat{H}_0$ . At  $\tau = 0$  (Example)

"Global quench"

$$\widehat{H}_0 o \widehat{H} = \widehat{H}_0 + \widehat{W}$$
 with  $\widehat{W} = \sum_j \hat{w}(j)$  and  $\widehat{H}|\alpha\rangle = E_{\alpha}|\alpha\rangle$ 

MR, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Nature 452, 854 (2008).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

э

## Width of the energy density after a sudden quench

Initial state  $|\psi_0\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} C_{\alpha} |\alpha\rangle$  is an eigenstate of  $\hat{H}_0$ . At  $\tau = 0$  (Example)

$$\widehat{H}_0 \to \widehat{H} = \widehat{H}_0 + \widehat{W}$$
 with  $\widehat{W} = \sum_j \hat{w}(j)$  and  $\widehat{H}|\alpha\rangle = E_{\alpha}|\alpha\rangle$ .

The width of the weighted energy density  $\Delta E$  is then Delta E = sqrt( <H^2> - <H>^2) =

$$\Delta E = \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha} E_{\alpha}^2 |C_{\alpha}|^2 - (\sum_{\alpha} E_{\alpha} |C_{\alpha}|^2)^2} = \sqrt{\langle \psi_0 |\widehat{W}^2 | \psi_0 \rangle - \langle \psi_0 |\widehat{W} | \psi_0 \rangle^2},$$

or

$$\Delta E = \sqrt{\sum_{j_1, j_2 \in \sigma} \left[ \langle \psi_0 | \hat{w}(j_1) \hat{w}(j_2) | \psi_0 \rangle - \langle \psi_0 | \hat{w}(j_1) | \psi_0 \rangle \langle \psi_0 | \hat{w}(j_2) | \psi_0 \rangle \right]} \overset{N \to \infty}{\propto} \sqrt{N},$$
(unless terms in the sum are highly correlated!)

where N is the total number of lattice sites.

MR, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Nature 452, 854 (2008).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

## Width of the energy density after a sudden quench

Initial state  $|\psi_0\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} C_{\alpha} |\alpha\rangle$  is an eigenstate of  $\widehat{H}_0$ . At  $\tau = 0$ 

$$\widehat{H}_0 \to \widehat{H} = \widehat{H}_0 + \widehat{W}$$
 with  $\widehat{W} = \sum_j \hat{w}(j)$  and  $\widehat{H}|\alpha\rangle = E_{\alpha}|\alpha\rangle$ .

The width of the weighted energy density  $\Delta E$  is then

$$\Delta E = \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha} E_{\alpha}^2 |C_{\alpha}|^2 - (\sum_{\alpha} E_{\alpha} |C_{\alpha}|^2)^2} = \sqrt{\langle \psi_0 | \widehat{W}^2 | \psi_0 \rangle - \langle \psi_0 | \widehat{W} | \psi_0 \rangle^2},$$

or

$$\Delta E = \sqrt{\sum_{j_1, j_2 \in \sigma} \left[ \langle \psi_0 | \hat{w}(j_1) \hat{w}(j_2) | \psi_0 \rangle - \langle \psi_0 | \hat{w}(j_1) | \psi_0 \rangle \langle \psi_0 | \hat{w}(j_2) | \psi_0 \rangle \right]^{N \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\propto} \infty} \sqrt{N},$$

where N is the total number of lattice sites. Since the width W of the full energy spectrum is  $\propto N$ 

$$\Delta \epsilon = \frac{\Delta E}{W} \stackrel{N \to \infty}{\propto} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}},$$

so, as in any thermal ensemble,  $\Delta \epsilon$  vanishes in the thermodynamic limit.

MR, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Nature 452, 854 (2008).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

## Outline



- Experiments with ultracold gases
- Unitary evolution and thermalization
- Generic (nonintegrable) systems
  - Time evolution vs exact time average
  - Statistical description after relaxation
  - Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis
  - Time fluctuations

#### Integrable systems

- Time evolution
- Generalized Gibbs ensemble

#### Summary

Hard-core boson Hamiltonian

(Equivalent to spin 1/2 Quantum Heisenberg model)

$$\widehat{H} = -J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left( \hat{b}_i^{\dagger} \hat{b}_j + \text{H.c.} \right) + U \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j, \qquad \hat{b}_i^{\dagger 2} = \hat{b}_i^2 = 0$$

MR, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Nature 452, 854 (2008).

Hard-core boson Hamiltonian

(Equivalent to spin 1/2 Quantum Heisenberg model)

$$\label{eq:Hamiltonian} \hat{H} = -J\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} \left( \hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_j + \text{H.c.} \right) + U\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j, \qquad \hat{b}_i^{\dagger 2} = \hat{b}_i^2 = 0$$

MR, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Nature 452, 854 (2008).

#### Nonequilibrium dynamics in 2D



Weak n.n. U = 0.1J

 $N_b = 5$  bosons

N = 21 lattice sites

Hilbert space: D = 20349

All states are used!

Initial state: single occupation number state. During the time evolution, ALL occupation number states occur.

#### Hard-core boson Hamiltonian

$$\label{eq:Hamiltonian} \widehat{H} = -J\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} \left( \hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_j + \text{H.c.} \right) + U\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j, \qquad \hat{b}_i^{\dagger 2} = \hat{b}_i^2 = 0$$

MR, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Nature 452, 854 (2008).

"One can rewrite

(quote from page 8)

$$O(\tau) = \sum_{\alpha',\alpha} C^{\star}_{\alpha'} C_{\alpha} e^{i(E_{\alpha'} - E_{\alpha})\tau} O_{\alpha'\alpha} \quad \text{where} \quad |\psi_0\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} C_{\alpha} |\alpha\rangle,$$

and taking the infinite time average (diagonal ensemble)

$$\overline{O(\tau)} = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau d\tau' \langle \Psi(\tau') | \hat{O} | \Psi(\tau') \rangle = \sum_\alpha |C_\alpha|^2 O_{\alpha \alpha} \equiv \langle \hat{O} \rangle_{\rm diag},$$

which depends on the initial conditions through  $C_{\alpha} = \langle \alpha | \psi_0 \rangle$ ."

#### Hard-core boson Hamiltonian

$$\label{eq:Hamiltonian} \hat{H} = -J\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} \left( \hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_j + \text{H.c.} \right) + U\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j, \qquad \hat{b}_i^{\dagger 2} = \hat{b}_i^2 = 0$$

MR, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Nature 452, 854 (2008).



A (1) > A (1) > A

#### Hard-core boson Hamiltonian

$$\label{eq:Hamiltonian} \hat{H} = -J\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} \left( \hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_j + \text{H.c.} \right) + U\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j, \qquad \hat{b}_i^{\dagger 2} = \hat{b}_i^2 = 0$$

MR, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Nature 452, 854 (2008).

#### Nonequilibrium dynamics in 2D



Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

## Outline



**Canonical calculation** 

$$O = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \hat{O} \hat{\rho} \right\}$$
$$\hat{\rho} = Z^{-1} \exp\left(-\hat{H}/k_B T\right)$$
$$Z = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \exp\left(-\hat{H}/k_B T\right) \right\}$$
$$E_{\text{gf}} = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \hat{H} \hat{\rho} \right\} \quad \begin{array}{c} T = 1.9J\\ \text{(T: best match)} \end{array}$$



Canonical calculation

$$O = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \hat{O} \hat{\rho} \right\}$$
$$\hat{\rho} = Z^{-1} \exp\left(-\hat{H}/k_B T\right)$$
$$Z = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \exp\left(-\hat{H}/k_B T\right) \right\}$$
$$E_{g} = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \hat{H} \hat{\rho} \right\} \quad T = 1.9J$$

Microcanonical calculation

with  $E_0 - \Delta E < E_\alpha < E_0 + \Delta E$ 

 $N_{states}$ : # of states in the window



E 0: energy of initial state ! Delta E: energy width of initial state (small!)

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Dynamics in quantum systems

October 6, 2014 13/34

## Outline



- Experiments with ultracold gases
- Unitary evolution and thermalization

## Generic (nonintegrable) systems

- Time evolution vs exact time average
- Statistical description after relaxation

#### • Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis

Time fluctuations

#### Integrable systems

- Time evolution
- Generalized Gibbs ensemble

#### Summary

Paradox?

$$\sum_{\alpha} \frac{|C_{\alpha}|^2}{|O_{\alpha\alpha}|^2} O_{\alpha\alpha} \stackrel{!?}{=} \langle O \rangle_{\text{microcan.}} (E_0) \equiv \frac{1}{N_{E_0,\Delta E}} \sum_{\substack{|E_0 - E_{\alpha}| < \Delta E}} O_{\alpha\alpha} \quad (1)$$

Left hand side: Depends on the initial conditions through  $C_{\alpha} = \langle \Psi_{\alpha} | \psi_I \rangle$ Right hand side: Depends only on the initial energy

Paradox?

$$\sum_{\alpha} \frac{|C_{\alpha}|^2}{|O_{\alpha\alpha}|^2} O_{\alpha\alpha} = \langle O \rangle_{\text{microcan.}} (E_0) \equiv \frac{1}{N_{E_0,\Delta E}} \sum_{\substack{|E_0 - E_{\alpha}| < \Delta E}} O_{\alpha\alpha}$$

Left hand side: Depends on the initial conditions through  $C_{\alpha} = \langle \Psi_{\alpha} | \psi_I \rangle$ Right hand side: Depends only on the initial energy

0) Usually required: C\_alpha significant only in a small energy window around E\_0 (or suitable cancellations, see e.g. page 16)

- i) For physically relevant initial conditions,  $|C_{\alpha}|^2$  practically do not (not typically true, see next page) (in alpha)
- (with Oaa) ii) Large (and uncorrelated) fluctuations occur in both  $Q_{\alpha\alpha}$  and  $|C_{\alpha}|^2$ . A physically relevant Then the initial state performs an unbiased sampling of  $Q_{\alpha\alpha}$ . MR and M. Srednicki, PRL **108**, 110601 (2012).
- or iii) The matrix elements O\_aa of the observable are almost constant in the relevant energy range.

Then equality (1) is true AND also equal to exp. value <O> in a SINGLE eigenstate within the energy window !!

Paradox?

$$\sum_{\alpha} \frac{|C_{\alpha}|^2}{|O_{\alpha\alpha}|^2} O_{\alpha\alpha} = \langle O \rangle_{\text{microcan.}} (E_0) \equiv \frac{1}{N_{E_0,\Delta E}} \sum_{\substack{|E_0 - E_{\alpha}| < \Delta E}} O_{\alpha\alpha}$$

Left hand side: Depends on the initial conditions through  $C_{\alpha} = \langle \Psi_{\alpha} | \psi_I \rangle$ Right hand side: Depends only on the initial energy



Paradox?

$$\sum_{\alpha} \frac{|C_{\alpha}|^2}{|O_{\alpha\alpha}|^2} O_{\alpha\alpha} = \langle O \rangle_{\text{microcan.}} (E_0) \equiv \frac{1}{N_{E_0,\Delta E}} \sum_{\substack{|E_0 - E_{\alpha}| < \Delta E}} O_{\alpha\alpha}$$

Left hand side: Depends on the initial conditions through  $C_{\alpha} = \langle \Psi_{\alpha} | \psi_I \rangle$ Right hand side: Depends only on the initial energy

Potential explanations:

- i) For physically relevant initial conditions,  $|C_{\alpha}|^2$  practically do not fluctuate. (see p.15 for commented
- ii) Large (and uncorrelated) fluctuations occur in both O<sub>αα</sub> and |C<sub>α</sub>|<sup>2</sup>. A physically relevant initial state performs an unbiased sampling of O<sub>αα</sub>.
   MR and M. Srednicki, PRL **108**, 110601 (2012).

version)

Paradox?

$$\sum_{\alpha} \frac{|C_{\alpha}|^2}{|O_{\alpha\alpha}|^2} O_{\alpha\alpha} = \langle O \rangle_{\text{microcan.}} (E_0) \equiv \frac{1}{N_{E_0,\Delta E}} \sum_{\substack{|E_0 - E_{\alpha}| < \Delta E}} O_{\alpha\alpha}$$

Left hand side: Depends on the initial conditions through  $C_{\alpha} = \langle \Psi_{\alpha} | \psi_I \rangle$ Right hand side: Depends only on the initial energy

#### Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH)

[J. M. Deutsch, PRA **43** 2046 (1991); M. Srednički, PRE **50**, 888 (1994); This is a consequence MR, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Nature **452**, 854 (2008).] of (iii) on page 15

iii) The expectation value  $\langle \Psi_{\alpha} | \hat{O} | \Psi_{\alpha} \rangle$  of a few-body observable  $\widehat{O}$  in an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian  $|\Psi_{\alpha}\rangle$ , with energy  $E_{\alpha}$ , of a large interacting many-body system equals the thermal average of  $\widehat{O}$  at the mean energy  $E_{\alpha}$ :

$$\langle \Psi_{\alpha} | \hat{O} | \Psi_{\alpha} \rangle = \langle O \rangle_{\text{microcan.}} (E_{\alpha})$$





Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

October 6, 2014 16 / 34

## One-dimensional integrable case



## One-dimensional integrable case



## Breakdown of eigenstate thermalization



Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

October 6, 2014 18 / 34

## Integrable vs Nonintegrable cases

#### Correlations between n(k) and $C_{\alpha}$

#### 1D (integrable) case

2D (nonintegrable) case



Conservation laws play a role in integrable models.

Correlations are not relevant, and they are not present!

Transition between integrability and nonintegrability: MR, PRL **103**, 100403 (2009); PRA **80**, 053607 (2009).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Dynamics in quantum systems

October 6, 2014 19 / 34

## Outline



- Experiments with ultracold gases
- Unitary evolution and thermalization

## Generic (nonintegrable) systems

- Time evolution vs exact time average
- Statistical description after relaxation
- Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis
- Time fluctuations

#### Integrable systems

- Time evolution
- Generalized Gibbs ensemble

#### Summary

#### Hard-core boson Hamiltonian

(not an integrable model)

$$\label{eq:hardenergy} \hat{H} = -J \sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} \left( \hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_j + \text{H.c.} \right) + U \sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j, \qquad \hat{b}_i^{\dagger 2} = \hat{b}_i^2 = 0$$

(Same slide as last part of "page 11")

(Equivalent to spin 1/2 Quantum Heisenberg model)

Nonequilibrium dynamics in 2D



## **Time fluctuations**

Are they small because of dephasing?

$$\begin{split} \langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle - \overline{\langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle} &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha', \alpha \\ \alpha' \neq \alpha}} C_{\alpha'}^{\star} C_{\alpha} e^{i(E_{\alpha'} - E_{\alpha})t} O_{\alpha'\alpha} \sim \sum_{\substack{\alpha', \alpha \\ \alpha' \neq \alpha}} \frac{e^{i(E_{\alpha'} - E_{\alpha})t}}{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha'\alpha} \\ &\sim \sum_{\substack{\alpha', \alpha \\ \alpha' \neq \alpha}} \frac{\sqrt{N_{\text{states}}^2}}{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha'\alpha}^{\text{typical}} \sim O_{\alpha'\alpha}^{\text{typical}} \\ &\xrightarrow{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha'\alpha'\alpha}^{\text{typical}} \\ &\xrightarrow{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha'\alpha'\alpha}^{\text{typical}} \\ &\xrightarrow{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha'\alpha'\alpha}^{\text{typical}} \\ &\xrightarrow{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha'\alpha'\alpha'}^{\text{typical}} \\ &\xrightarrow{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha'\alpha'\alpha'}^{\text{typical}} \\ &\xrightarrow{N_{$$

æ

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

## **Time fluctuations**

Are they small because of dephasing?

$$\begin{split} \langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle - \overline{\langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle} &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha', \alpha \\ \alpha' \neq \alpha}} C_{\alpha'}^{\star} C_{\alpha} e^{i(E_{\alpha'} - E_{\alpha})t} O_{\alpha'\alpha} \sim \sum_{\substack{\alpha', \alpha \\ \alpha' \neq \alpha}} \frac{e^{i(E_{\alpha'} - E_{\alpha})t}}{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha'\alpha} \\ &\sim \frac{\sqrt{N_{\text{states}}^2}}{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha'\alpha}^{\text{typical}} \sim O_{\alpha'\alpha}^{\text{typical}} \end{split}$$

Time average of  $\langle \hat{O} \rangle$ 

$$\begin{split} \overline{\langle \hat{O} \rangle} &= \sum_{\alpha} |C_{\alpha}|^2 O_{\alpha \alpha} \\ &\sim \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha \alpha} \sim O_{\alpha \alpha}^{\text{typica}} \end{split}$$

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

э

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

## **Time fluctuations**

Are they small because of dephasing?

$$\langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle - \overline{\langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle} = \sum_{\substack{\alpha', \alpha \\ \alpha' \neq \alpha}} C_{\alpha}^{\star} C_{\alpha} e^{i(E_{\alpha'} - E_{\alpha})t} O_{\alpha'\alpha} \sim \sum_{\substack{\alpha', \alpha \\ \alpha' \neq \alpha}} \frac{e^{i(E_{\alpha'} - E_{\alpha})t}}{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha'\alpha} \sim \sum_{\substack{\alpha', \alpha \\ \alpha' \neq \alpha}} \frac{e^{i(E_{\alpha'} - E_{\alpha})t}}{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha'\alpha} \sim \sum_{\substack{\alpha', \alpha \\ \alpha' \neq \alpha}} \frac{e^{i(E_{\alpha'} - E_{\alpha})t}}{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha'\alpha} \sim \sum_{\substack{\alpha', \alpha \\ \alpha' \neq \alpha}} \frac{e^{i(E_{\alpha'} - E_{\alpha})t}}{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha'\alpha} \sim \sum_{\substack{\alpha', \alpha \\ \alpha' \neq \alpha}} \frac{e^{i(E_{\alpha'} - E_{\alpha})t}}{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha'\alpha} \sim O_{\alpha'\alpha}^{\text{typical}} \sim O_{\alpha'\alpha}^{\text{t$$

## Fluctuation-dissipation theorem (dipolar bosons)

#### Occupation in the center of the trap $(n_{j=L/2})$



#### Hamiltonian

$$\begin{split} \hat{H} &= -J\sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \left( \hat{b}_j^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{j+1} + \text{H.c.} \right) \\ &+ V\sum_{j < l} \frac{\hat{n}_j \hat{n}_l}{|j-l|^3} + g\sum_j \frac{x_j^2}{\text{trap}} \hat{n}_j \end{split}$$

magnetic atoms, polar molecules

#### **Relaxation dynamics**

$$O(t) = C(t)O(t=0)$$

where

$$C(t) = \frac{\overline{O(t+t')O(t')}}{\overline{(O(t'))^2}}$$

Srednicki, JPA 32, 1163 (1999).

E. Khatami, G. Pupillo, M. Srednicki, and MR, PRL 111, 050403 (2013).

## Outline



## Bose-Fermi mapping

#### Hard-core boson Hamiltonian in an external potential

$$\hat{H} = -J\sum_{i} \left( \hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{i+1} + \text{H.c.} \right) + \sum_{i} v_{i} \ \hat{n}_{i}$$

Constraints on the bosonic operators

$$\hat{b}_i^{\dagger 2}=\hat{b}_i^2=0$$

## Bose-Fermi mapping

#### Hard-core boson Hamiltonian in an external potential

$$\hat{H} = -J\sum_{i} \left( \hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{i+1} + \text{H.c.} \right) + \sum_{i} v_{i} \hat{n}_{i}$$

Here: no density-density interaction !

Constraints on the bosonic operators

$$\hat{b}_i^2 = \begin{array}{l} \text{Since n_i} = b^{\Lambda} \text{dagger_i} \ b\_i, \text{ this Hamiltonian is} \\ \text{bilinear in creation and annihilation operators and} \\ \hat{b}_i^2 = 0 \text{ the eigenstates are products of single particle states.} \\ \text{(Special case v_i=const: momentum space states)} \end{array}$$

Map to spins and then to fermions (Jordan-Wigner transformation)

 $\hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger 2} =$ 

$$\sigma_i^+ = \hat{f}_i^\dagger \prod_{\beta=1}^{i-1} e^{-i\pi \hat{f}_\beta^\dagger \hat{f}_\beta}, \quad \sigma_i^- = \prod_{\beta=1}^{i-1} e^{i\pi \hat{f}_\beta^\dagger \hat{f}_\beta} \hat{f}_i = (-1)^{\text{(number of fermions before site i)}} = -in 1 \text{d provides for anticommutation}$$

Mapping results in

11 Non-interacting fermion Hamiltonian Signs cancel as long as fermions cannot hop past each other !

$$\hat{H}_F = -J\sum_i \left(\hat{f}_i^\dagger \hat{f}_{i+1} + ext{H.c.}
ight) + \sum_i v_i \; \hat{n}_i^f$$

(This is an integrable model)

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

## One-particle density matrix

#### One-particle Green's function

 $\delta = 1 \sigma = 1$ 

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

æ

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

## One-particle density matrix

#### One-particle Green's function

$$G_{ij} = \langle \Psi_{HCB} | \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i}^{-} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j}^{+} | \Psi_{HCB} \rangle = \langle \Psi_{F} | \prod_{\beta=1}^{i-1} e^{i\pi \hat{f}_{\beta}^{+} \hat{f}_{\beta}} \hat{f}_{i} \hat{f}_{j}^{+} \prod_{\gamma=1}^{j-1} e^{-i\pi \hat{f}_{\gamma}^{+} \hat{f}_{\gamma}} | \Psi_{F} \rangle$$
For non-neighboring sites i, j  
each interchange of fermions  
gives a sign -> cancelled  
by e-factors  
For non-neighboring sites i, j  
each interchange of fermions  
gives a sign -> cancelled  
by e-factors  
For non-neighboring sites i, j  
each interchange of fermions  
gives a sign -> cancelled  
by e-factors  
Fock state: prod\_k (c^{dagger\_k})^{(n\_k)/0>} = prod\_k sum\_x e^{(i k x n\_k)} c^{dagger\_x /0>} = prod\_n (sum\_x e^{(i k x n\_k)} c^{dagger\_x /0>} = prod\_n (sum\_x e^{(i k n x)} c^{dagger\_x /0>} = prod\_n (sum\_x P\_{(k n x)} c^{dagger\_x /0>} = prod\_n sum\_x P\_{(k n x)} c^{dagg

MR and A. Muramatsu, PRL 93, 230404 (2004); PRL 94, 240403 (2005).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

rem whi

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 の々で

## Relaxation dynamics in an integrable system



MR, V. Dunjko, V. Yurovsky, and M. Olshanii, PRL 98, 050405 (2007).

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

## Relaxation dynamics in an integrable system





MR, V. Dunjko, V. Yurovsky, and M. Olshanii, PRL 98, 050405 (2007).

## Outline



Generalized Gibbs ensemble

#### Summary

## Statistical description after relaxation (integrable system)

#### Thermal equilibrium

$$\hat{\rho} = Z^{-1} \exp\left[-\left(\hat{H} - \mu \hat{N}_b\right) / k_B T\right]$$
$$Z = \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\exp\left[-\left(\hat{H} - \mu \hat{N}_b\right) / k_B T\right]\right\}$$
$$E = \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\hat{H}\hat{\rho}\right\}, \quad N_b = \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\hat{N}_b\hat{\rho}\right\}$$

MR, PRA 72, 063607 (2005).

# Thermal equilibrium $\hat{\rho} = Z^{-1} \exp \left[ -\left(\hat{H} - \mu \hat{N}_b\right) / k_B T \right]$ $Z = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \exp \left[ -\left(\hat{H} - \mu \hat{N}_b\right) / k_B T \right] \right\}$ $E = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \hat{H} \hat{\rho} \right\}, \quad N_b = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \hat{N}_b \hat{\rho} \right\}$ MR, PRA 72, 063607 (2005).



Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Dynamics in quantum systems

# Thermal equilibrium $\hat{\rho} = Z^{-1} \exp \left[ -\left(\hat{H} - \mu \hat{N}_b\right) / k_B T \right]$ $Z = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \exp \left[ -\left(\hat{H} - \mu \hat{N}_b\right) / k_B T \right] \right\}$ $E = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \hat{H} \hat{\rho} \right\}, \quad N_b = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \hat{N}_b \hat{\rho} \right\}$ MR, PRA 72, 063607 (2005).

#### Integrals of motion

(underlying noninteracting fermions)

$$\begin{split} \hat{H}_F \hat{\gamma}_m^{f\dagger} |0\rangle &= E_m \hat{\gamma}_m^{f\dagger} |0\rangle \\ \left\{ \hat{I}_m^f \right\} &= \left\{ \hat{\gamma}_m^{f\dagger} \hat{\gamma}_m^f \right\} & \text{Many local cor} \\ \text{quantities } I^{f}_{L^1} \end{split}$$





Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

October 6, 2014 30 / 34



October 6, 2014 31 / 34

æ



#### Why does the GGE work?

#### Generalized eigenstate thermalization:

A. C. Cassidy, C. W. Clark, and MR, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 140405 (2011).

K. He, L. F. Santos, T. M. Wright, and MR, Phys. Rev. A 87, 063637 (2013).

J.-S. Caux and F. H. L. Essler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 257203 (2013).

A B A B A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Thermalization occurs in generic isolated systems
 Finite size effects

æ

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

- Thermalization occurs in generic isolated systems
   Finite size effects
- Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis  $\star \langle \Psi_{\alpha} | \hat{O} | \Psi_{\alpha} \rangle = \langle O \rangle_{\text{microcan.}} (E_{\alpha})$

A (10) + A (10) +

- Thermalization occurs in generic isolated systems
   Finite size effects
- Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis  $\star \langle \Psi_{\alpha} | \hat{O} | \Psi_{\alpha} \rangle = \langle O \rangle_{\text{microcan.}} (E_{\alpha})$
- Thermalization and ETH break down close integrability (finite system)

   Quantum equivalent of KAM?

- Thermalization occurs in generic isolated systems
   Finite size effects
- Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis  $\star \langle \Psi_{\alpha} | \hat{O} | \Psi_{\alpha} \rangle = \langle O \rangle_{\text{microcan.}} (E_{\alpha})$
- Thermalization and ETH break down close integrability (finite system)

   Quantum equivalent of KAM?
- Small time fluctuations ← smallness of off-diagonal elements

🗇 🕨 🖌 三 🕨 🧹 三

- Thermalization occurs in generic isolated systems
   Finite size effects
- Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis  $\star \langle \Psi_{\alpha} | \hat{O} | \Psi_{\alpha} \rangle = \langle O \rangle_{\text{microcan.}} (E_{\alpha})$
- Thermalization and ETH break down close integrability (finite system)

   Quantum equivalent of KAM?
- Small time fluctuations ← smallness of off-diagonal elements
- Time plays only an auxiliary role



A (10) > A (10) > A (10)

- Thermalization occurs in generic isolated systems
   Finite size effects
- Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis  $\star \langle \Psi_{\alpha} | \hat{O} | \Psi_{\alpha} \rangle = \langle O \rangle_{\text{microcan.}} (E_{\alpha})$
- Thermalization and ETH break down close integrability (finite system)

   Quantum equivalent of KAM?
- Small time fluctuations ← smallness of off-diagonal elements
- Time plays only an auxiliary role
- Integrable systems are different (Generalized Gibbs ensemble)



Image: A matrix and a matrix

## Collaborators

- Vanja Dunjko (U Mass Boston)
- Alejandro Muramatsu (Stuttgart U)
- Maxim Olshanii (U Mass Boston)
- Lea F. Santos (Yeshiva U)
- Mark Srednicki (UC Santa Barbara)
- Former group members: Kai He, Ehsan Khatami

## Supported by:



< 同 > < ∃ >

## Information entropy (S<sub>j</sub> = $-\sum_{k=1}^{D} |c_j^k|^2 \ln |c_j^k|^2$ )



Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

October 6, 2014 34 / 34