Efficient DMFT impurity solver using real-time dynamics with matrix product states
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We propose to calculate spectral functions of quantum impurity models using the time evolving block decimation (TEBD) for matrix product states. The resolution of the spectral function is improved by a so-called linear prediction approach. We apply the method as an impurity solver within the dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) for the single- and two-band Hubbard model on the Bethe lattice. For the single-band model, we observe sharp features at the inner edges of the Hubbard bands. A finite-size scaling shows that they remain present in the thermodynamic limit. We analyze the real time-dependence of the double occupation after adding a single electron and observe oscillations at the same energy as the sharp feature in the Hubbard band, indicating a long-lived coherent superposition of states that correspond to the Kondo peak and the side peaks. For a two-band Hubbard model, we observe an even richer structure in the Hubbard bands, which cannot be related to a multiplet structure of the impurity, in addition to sharp excitations at the band edges of a type similar to the single-band case.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The field of strongly correlated materials has experienced vast growth during the last three decades. Electronic correlations pose a particular challenge for theory, and many phenomena such as high-temperature superconductivity have eluded a proper understanding to this day. A correlation phenomenon that is nontrivial but nonetheless fully understood is the Kondo effect [1,2]. The Kondo model and its cousin, the Anderson impurity model, are not only relevant for its original purpose, i.e., for magnetic impurities in solids, but also for quantum dots [3] and even for bulk materials. For the latter, dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) [4–6] maps a bulk lattice model onto the self-consistent solution of an Anderson impurity model and includes in this way a major part of electronic correlations, namely the local ones.

Strong electronic correlations make the computational cost of directly solving the Schrödinger equation prohibitively large, and advanced numerical methods, often approximate ones, are in many cases the only viable option. At the forefront of these methods lie the quantum Monte-Carlo (QMC) technique [7,8], the numerical renormalization group [2,9], the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) [10–12], cluster approaches like cluster perturbation theory [13] and the variational cluster approach [14] and DMFT [4–6]. All these methods have their strengths and weaknesses. QMC gives formally the exact solution, but is in practice plagued by statistical errors and the sign problem. The NRG excels at capturing the low-energy physics but has a hard time to resolve high-energy features in the spectrum and is restricted to impurity problems. The DMRG can treat both the low- and high-energy scale on equal footing, but is best suited for one-dimensional (1d) models. Methods for higher dimensional (d > 1) problems are scarce. One of the most promising among these is the DMFT [5], which becomes exact in the limit d → ∞ [4] and which yields an approximation for the finite dimensional lattice. The key quantity of DMFT is the local lattice spectral function $A(\omega)$ which is calculated self-consistently. The framework of DMFT is readily established [5], but the actual solution of the DMFT equations is complicated: it involves the calculation of the spectral function of an interacting impurity system which even for single-band models is highly nontrivial, and the complexity grows quickly with the number of considered bands (i.e., impurity orbitals). Many different approaches have been proposed to tackle the problem. The most common ones are QMC [8,15–19], exact diagonalization (ED) [20–23], and NRG [24–26]. QMC can efficiently handle multiple bands, but when formulated in imaginary time, it lacks high resolution of the spectral function. This is mainly attributable to the ill-conditioned analytic continuation from imaginary to real frequencies. Based on the work in Refs. [27,28], the QMC method has recently been extended to the calculation of real-frequency spectra using bold line methods [29,30] (see Refs. [31,32] and references therein). The analytic continuation is then traded for the introduction of a sign problem. ED naturally works with real energies, but it is severely limited by the number of possible sites. This again reduces the spectral resolution considerably.
Recently, two extensions to the ED method have been put forward [22,23], which increase the possible resolution of the spectral function [33]. The NRG on the other hand, being designed for impurity problems, achieves very good spectral resolution at small energies. However, due to a necessarily logarithmic discretization [9] of the bath density of states (DOS), high-energy features of the bath are increasingly hard to resolve, which is also likely to affect the fixed point of the DMFT iteration. In addition, NRG has an intrinsic exponential growth of complexity with the number of bands of the underlying lattice model. More than two bands [25,34] or three bands [35] have so far been unfeasible. The DMRG on the other hand offers several ways to be used as an impurity solver within the DMFT. One possible way is to employ the dynamical DMRG (DDMRG) [36,37] to obtain the DMFT spectral function [38–41], whereas other approaches use a continued fraction expansion of the Greens function [42,43]. The broad spectrum function [38–41], whereas other approaches use a continued fraction expansion of the Greens function [42,43]. The broad spectrum function [38–41], whereas other approaches use a continued fraction expansion of the Greens function [42,43].

In this work, we address the computation of the local Greens function of the Hubbard model on the $z \rightarrow \infty$ Bethe lattice using DMFT. In the limit of no interaction, the model has a semicircular density of states (DOS) with a bandwidth of $2D$. The central ingredient in DMFT is the iterative calculation of the spectral function $A_{\text{imp}}(\omega)$ using the Green's function $G(t)$ of an impurity model. We consider the single-particle Anderson model (SIAM)

$$H = \varepsilon_f \sum_\sigma n_{0\sigma} + U n_{0\uparrow} n_{0\downarrow} + \sum_{\delta \neq 0, \sigma} \varepsilon_{\delta} n_{\delta\sigma} + \sum \delta_{\delta\sigma} c_{\delta\sigma}^\dagger c_{\delta\sigma} + H.c.$$

where $c_{\delta\sigma}^\dagger$, $c_{\delta\sigma}$ are fermionic annihilation and creation operators of spin $\sigma$ at the impurity site, $U$ and $\varepsilon_f$ are the interaction and the local potential of the underlying lattice model, i.e., the single-band Hubbard model, and $\varepsilon_{\delta}$ and $V_\delta$ are variational parameters which are optimized during the DMFT cycles (see below). We assume a spin-symmetric coupling $V_\delta$ and bath-dispersion $\varepsilon_{\delta}$, and work at particle-hole symmetry $\varepsilon_f = -\frac{\Delta}{2}$, in which case $A_{\text{imp}}(\omega)$ is independent of spin.

Greens and spectral functions can be calculated from the real time evolution and subsequent Fourier transformation of

$$A_{\text{imp}}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \langle \Phi_0 | c_0(t) c_0^\dagger(0) | \Phi_0 \rangle$$

and

$$A_{\text{imp}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{-i\omega t} A_{\text{imp}}(t).$$

Here, $c_0(t)$ is given in the Heisenberg picture, and $| \Phi_0 \rangle$ is a nondegenerate ground state at zero energy. Due to the hermiticity of $H$, the function $A_{\text{imp}}(\omega)$ is real and normalized to unity. One way to obtain $A_{\text{imp}}(\omega)$ is to calculate the two quantities

$$G^>(t) \equiv \langle \Phi_0 | c_0(t) c_0^\dagger \rangle | \Phi_0 \rangle,$$

$$G^<(t) \equiv \langle \Phi_0 | c_0^\dagger(t) c_0 \rangle | \Phi_0 \rangle,$$

for $-\infty < t < \infty$ and Fourier transform them. Using

$$G^>(t)^* = G^<(-t),$$

$$G^<(t) = (G^>(t))^*,$$

where the second line is valid only at particle-hole symmetry, the spectral function can be expressed as

$$A_{\text{imp}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt (G^>(t) + G^<(-t)) e^{-i\omega t}$$

and

$$= \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \Re(G^>(t)) e^{-i\omega t}.$$
model assumes the form \( H = H_{\text{loc}} + H_{\text{bath}} \) with
\[
H_{\text{loc}} = \sum_{m\sigma} \varepsilon_m n_{m\sigma} + \frac{U}{2} \sum_{m\sigma} n_{m\sigma} n_{\bar{m}\bar{\sigma}} + \frac{U'}{2} \sum_{m\sigma} n_{m\sigma} n_{\bar{m}\bar{\sigma}} + \frac{(U' - J)}{2} \sum_{m\sigma} n_{m\sigma} n_{\bar{m}\bar{\sigma}} - J (c_{\sigma 01}^\dagger c_{\bar{0}1}^\dagger + \text{H.c.}) - J (c_{\sigma 01}^\dagger c_{\bar{0}1}^\dagger + \text{H.c.}),
\]

\[ H_{\text{bath}} = \sum_{m\sigma} (V_{m\sigma} c_{m0\sigma} n_{m\sigma} + \text{H.c.}) + \sum_{m\sigma} \varepsilon_{m\sigma} n_{m\sigma}, \]

with \( U' = U - 2J \), and we choose \( J = U/4 \) throughout. Here, \( c_{m0\sigma}^\dagger \) is a fermionic annihilation operator of the correlated orbital \( m \in \{0, 1\} \) at the impurity site, \( n_{m\sigma} \) are the corresponding particle number operators, and \( \varepsilon_{m\sigma} \) and \( n_{m\sigma} \) are the bath-electron annihilation and particle number operators for \( \kappa \geq 1 \), respectively. Symbols \( \bar{m} \) and \( \bar{\sigma} \) denote complementary variables, e.g., if \( \bar{\sigma} = +1/2 \), then \( \bar{\sigma} = -1/2 \), and similar for \( m \).

Note that we use a fully rotational invariant interaction with all spin-flip and pair-hopping terms included.

### III. METHODS

#### A. Dynamical mean-field theory

In this paper, we address the single- and two-band Hubbard model on the \( z \to \infty \) Bethe lattice. This is convenient for two reasons: (i) the DMFT yields exact results in this case and (ii) the DMFT self-consistency scheme is especially simple.

The quantity of genuine interest in DMFT is the local lattice spectral function \( A_{\text{imp}}(\omega) \) of an interacting, \( d \)-dimensional lattice problem (e.g., the Hubbard model on the Bethe lattice). At convergence it is identical to the impurity spectral function \( A_{\text{imp}}(\omega) \). The basic idea of DMFT is to mimic the effect of the interacting lattice surrounding a given site by a suitably chosen bath of free electrons. Interacting lattice site and surrounding bath yield an impurity problem described by Eq. (1) or (7).

The bath can be represented by the hybridization function \( \Delta_m(\omega) = \sum_{m\sigma} |V_{m\sigma}|^2 \delta(\omega - \varepsilon_{m\sigma}) \), with an imaginary part \( \Delta_{m,\text{im}}(\omega) \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m\sigma} |V_{m\sigma}|^2 \delta(\omega - \varepsilon_{m\sigma}) \).

For the discretization, we use a symmetric model in which at \( U/D = 0 \) both bands have the same bandwidth \( 2D \). For the discretization, we use a symmetric setup with two DMFT-baths of lengths \( N_1 = N_2 \). Each bath contains electrons of up and down spin character.

The two-band model has been frequently investigated in the past [25, 34, 55]. Like the single-band model, it exhibits a MI transition at a finite \( U/D \approx 2 \), for \( J = U/4 \) [25].

Using the NRG as an impurity solver [25], it has been observed that a finite \( J \) leads to a strong renormalization of the Kondo temperature and hence affects the MI transition. Obtaining accurate results, especially for high energy features of the Hubbard bands remains a challenging problem.

#### B. Time evolving block decimation

The essential task of the impurity solver in DMFT is to calculate the greater (or lesser) Greens function \( G^-(t) = \langle \Phi_0 | c_{\sigma}^\dagger e^{i H t} c_{\bar{\sigma}} | \Phi_0 \rangle \). We achieve this by calculating the ground state \( |\Phi_0 \rangle \) using the DMRG and subsequently employ the time evolving block decimation (TEBD) [47, 48] to evolve \( c_{\sigma}^\dagger |\Phi_0 \rangle \) forward in time. We use a second-order Trotter breakup with \( \Delta t D = 0.00625 \), and in the single-band case measure \( G^+(t_n D) \) every 25 Trotter steps, thus \( t_n D = 0.15625 n \), with \( n \in [0, \ldots, N_{\text{max}} - 1] \). For the two-band model, we split the calculation of the Greens function into two runs, one forward and one backward in time, which allows us to reach longer times and/or larger system sizes with a smaller computational effort [56]. From these runs we calculate the Greens function on a grid with \( N_{\text{max}} \) grid-points \( t_n D = 0.375 n \), with \( \Delta t D = 0.00625 \).

We then apply the linear prediction method (see below) to extrapolate 10 000 further points. In the single-band case, we also employ an unfolding procedure [57] to separate the spin degrees of freedom of the electrons into a left chain containing up spins and a right chain containing down spins which is computationally more efficient. In this case, the total length of the system is \( 2N \), that is, each bath has a length of \( N - 1 \) sites. A decoupling for two and more bands can be carried out in a similar spirit with multiple chains connected at the impurity site in a starlike geometry [58] where every chain carries a band and spin index. For the two-band case however, we only applied a decoupling of the orbital degrees of freedom. The impurity orbital is in this case located at the center of a chain, where the left \( N_1 \) sites contain the \( m = 0 \) bath and impurity orbital, and the right \( N_2 \) sites the \( m = 1 \) bath and impurity orbital. Each of the \( N_1 - 1 \) and \( N_2 - 1 \) bath orbitals contains electrons of up- and down-spin flavour.

In the TEBD, only a limited number \( \chi \) of the Schmidt states \( |\lambda_n \rangle \) and Schmidt values \( \lambda_n \) can be kept at a certain bond connecting two sites, which is the major approximation of the
method. The error of a single-time step can be quantified by the truncated weight [12,48]

$$\epsilon_{tw} = 1 - \sum_{\sigma=+1}^{dy_x} \chi_{\sigma}^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

obtained after truncating the state down to a matrix dimension $\chi$, where $d$ is the local Hilbert space dimension. In our implementation of the TEBD, after every time step the matrix dimension is reduced just enough to obtain the prespecified $\epsilon_{tw}$. Additionally, we set a hard limit for the maximum matrix dimension of $\chi = 500$ or 750 in the single-band and $\chi = 800$ or 1000 in two-band models.

C. Linear prediction

The so-called linear prediction technique [46,50,51,59] is a very simple and powerful method for the extrapolation of time series. It amounts to describing the time series as a sum of very simple and powerful method for the extrapolation of time

$$\lambda$$

$\delta$ and the eigenvalue rescaling is investigated in more detail in the appendix. There we show that zeroed eigenvalues yield better results.

The linear prediction algorithm has two parameters $N_{t,\text{max}}$ (“time window”) and $\delta$ (pseudo-inverse cutoff). From analysis of the dependence of the DMFT-fixed point on these parameters (see Appendix), we found $N_{t,\text{max}} = 350$, and $\delta = 10^{-6}$ or smaller, in conjunction with setting large eigenvalues of the prediction matrix to 0, to yield good results. Unless stated otherwise, these parameter values were used to obtain the results in this paper.

IV. RESULTS

To verify our approach we benchmarked our results for the impurity spectral function of a SIAM with results of the dynamical DMRG [60], to our knowledge the most precise data available (see Appendix), and found excellent agreement. In the following, we present our results for the one and two-band Hubbard model.

A. One-band Hubbard model

We start by applying our method to DMFT for the single-band Hubbard model on the Bethe lattice for interaction strengths $U/D = 1.0$ and 2.0 in the metallic region and $U/D = 3.2, 3.4$, and 3.6 in the insulating region. We use $N_{t,\text{max}} = 200$. Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show the results for $U/D = 1.0$ and 2.0 (red solid lines). At $U/D = 2.0$, distinct features at the inner edges of the Hubbard satellites start to emerge, as has been observed in previous DDMRG [39,40] and NRG [24] studies, in QMC calculations [30] as well as in MPS calculations with Chebyshev moments [46], and recently also in advanced ED calculations [22,23,33]. For comparison, we show results obtained with the Chebyshev expansion technique [46] (black dash-dotted lines). They are compatible with our present results. For values of $U/D = 3.2, 3.4$, and 3.6 we plot results in Fig. 1(c). In contrast to Fig. 1(a) and 1(b), we use a mixing parameter of $\alpha = 0.3$ in Fig. 1(c), which yields a smoother convergence to the insulating solution. If no mixing is applied, the spectra alternate between an insulating and a metallic solution with a tiny quasiparticle weight. This effect is particularly strong at $U/D = 3.2$ close to the transition and is also enhanced when increasing $N_{t,\text{max}}$ or decreasing $\delta$, which we attribute to Trotter and truncation effects in the time series. We note that in Fig. 1(c) there is some small residual spectral weight left in the gap region (of the order of $10^{-5}$).

B. Sharp peaks in the Hubbard bands

We proceed to study the metallic state in the coexistence region $U_{c1}/D \leq U/D \leq U_{c2}/D$, where $U_{c1}/D \approx 2.38$ and $U_{c2}/D \approx 3.0$ [39]. For such $U/D$, the narrowing of the quasiparticle peak at $\omega/D = 0$ and the appearance of sharp side peaks in the Hubbard band [22,23,39,40,46] make a high-resolution calculation of $A(\omega)$ a challenging task.

In Fig. 2, we present $A(\omega)$ for $U/D = 2.4$ and a chain length of $N = 150$ sites. We clearly observe a separation of energy scales into a sharp resonance at $\omega/D = 0$ and two broad Hubbard satellites at $\omega/D \approx \pm U/2D$, decorated with two sharp features at the inner edges of the Hubbard peaks. The
insights are closeups on the sharp side peak of the left Hubbard satellite (left) and the quasiparticle peak (right).

It can be shown analytically \cite{61} that the exact value of $\pi DA(\omega = 0) = 2.0$ for all values of $U/D < U_c/D$. This pinning of the height of the Kondo-resonance is fulfilled to good accuracy in our simulations. In Fig. 2, we analyze the convergence of the results with increasing precision of the MPS calculations. The latter is governed by the truncation error $\epsilon_{tw}$, related to the MPS matrix dimension $\chi$, which bounds the number of Schmidt states kept at each bi-partition during the simulation. Figure 2 shows results for three different simulations. With increasing precision we initially observe a sharpening of the Hubbard side-peaks. From the plot, we conclude that using $\chi = 500$, $\epsilon_{tw} = 10^{-10}$ already yields converged results. The magnetization of our DMRG ground state is zero to within $10^{-7}$ accuracy, which rules out that these sharp excitations are artifacts from any spurious magnetic ordering due to the DMRG truncation. A slight decrease of the quality of the pinning criterion is most likely related to linear prediction inaccuracies.

A second important parameter is the chain length $N$, which is directly related to the number of discretization points of the bath spectral function. In Fig. 3(a), we present DMFT-spectra for $U/D = 2.4$ and different system sizes $N = 150, \ldots, 240$, with $\chi = 500$ or 750 and $\epsilon_{tw} = 10^{-10}$. With increasing system size $N$, we observe a shift of the Hubbard side-peak position towards smaller $|\omega/D|$ (left inset Fig. 3), as well as a reduction of its height \cite{39,40}. A similar reduction is observed in the height of the quasiparticle peak (right inset in Fig. 3), in violation of the pinning criterion. However, the DMFT self-consistency cycle is stable and still converges, and the violation does not increase during this cycle. We note that the spectral height at $|\omega/D| = 0$ is the quantity, which is most susceptible to small errors in the large time evolution in our method, more so than the spectrum at other frequencies.

In Figs. 3(b) to 3(e), we display a finite size scaling of the side peaks, which demonstrates that they remain present in the infinite size limit. Figure 3(b) shows a finite size scaling of the height of the side peak (the exponent 3.2 was found to map the data to a straight line), and (c) a scaling of its position. In panel (d), we present a finite size scaling of the minimum to the left of the left side peak. Finally, panel (e) shows a scaling of an approximate measure of the area of the side peak, namely the area between the left side peak and a straight line through the local minimum, tangent to spectrum at the global minimum [see dashed black line in the lower Hubbard band of the main panel in Fig. 3(a)]. Since the height of the central peak is also size-dependent, the exact properties of the side peaks are likely to change with higher precision of the calculations. The important point (further strengthened by a slight curvature of the data) is that they converge to finite values, i.e. the side peaks remain present in the thermodynamic limit.

With increasing $U/D$, the quasiparticle peak is expected to narrow until it vanishes at $U_c/D \approx 3.0$ \cite{26,40}. In the main panel of Fig. 4(a), we show results for $U/D = 2.8$. The right inset tracks the evolution of the quasiparticle peak for $U/D = 2.4$, 2.6, and 2.8. As expected, its weight is strongly reduced upon increasing $U/D$. The Hubbard side peak (left inset) is visibly shifted towards smaller $|\omega/D|$, and it becomes sharper, in agreement with the DDMRG data of Refs. [39,40,46].

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) and (b) DMFT spectral function of the half-filled Hubbard model on the Bethe lattice for $U/D = 1.0, 2.0$ ($N = 120, N_{\text{max}} = 200, \chi = 500, \epsilon_{tw} = 10^{-10}$) as obtained from TEBD (red solid line). For comparison we plot results obtained using the Chebyshev expansion method \cite{46} (black dash-dotted line). (c) DMFT spectral functions in the insulating phase for $U/D = 3.2, 3.4, 3.6$. For better convergence, we used the modified update scheme with $\alpha = 0.3$ in (c). Other parameters as in (a) and (b).

FIG. 2. (Color online) DMFT spectral function for $U/D = 2.4$, comparing three different computational parameter sets $\chi$ and $\epsilon_{tw}$ ($N = 150$). The spectral function shows the quasiparticle peak at $\omega/D = 0$ and two broad Hubbard bands at $\omega/D = \pm U/2D$. Additionally, at the inner edges of the Hubbard band, we observe a sharp feature. (Insets) Closeups of the sharp peak at the inner side of the Hubbard bands (left) and the quasiparticle peak (right).
FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Spectral function of converged DMFT cycles at $U/D = 2.4$ for different chain lengths $N = 150, 170, 200, 240$. (χ = 500, 750, $\epsilon_{tu} = 10^{-10}$). Insets are closeups of Hubbard bands (left) and quasiparticle peak (right). (Below) Finite size scaling with respect to $N$ of (b) the height of the inner side peak, (c) the position of the inner side peak, (d) minimum of the inner side peak and (e) area between the dashed black line and inner side peak in (a).

The appearance of sharp peaks at the inner edge of the Hubbard band has been observed in previous studies in the metallic [22,23,39,40,46] as well as in the insulating phase [30,33], but has so far eluded a convincing explanation. It has been speculated [40] to be an antibound state of the Fermi-liquid quasiparticle with a collective spin excitation not exactly fulfilled pinning, the self-energy is not exactly 0 at $\omega = 0$.

C. Time dependencies on the impurity site and connection to side peaks

The appearance of sharp peaks at the inner edge of the Hubbard band has been observed in previous studies in the metallic [22,23,39,40,46] as well as in the insulating phase [30,33], but has so far eluded a convincing explanation. It has been speculated [40] to be an antibound state of the Fermi-liquid quasiparticle with a collective spin excitation not exactly fulfilled pinning, the self-energy is not exactly 0 at $\omega = 0$. 
of polaronic character. The existence of collective spin excitations on the other hand requires the presence of spatial correlations, which are not included in single-site DMFT. One of the great advantages of our approach is the direct accessibility of time dependent properties of the impurity after the insertion of an electron at time $tD = 0$. In Fig. 5, we plot the time dependent probabilities (at DMFT self-consistency) of finding the impurity in one of the singly occupied states, $P_\updownarrow = \langle \psi(t)|n_\uparrow|\psi(t)\rangle + \langle \psi(t)|n_\downarrow|\psi(t)\rangle - 2\langle \psi(t)|n_\uparrow n_\downarrow|\psi(t)\rangle$, doubly occupied, $P_{-\uparrow\downarrow} = \langle \psi(t)|n_\uparrow n_\downarrow|\psi(t)\rangle$, or empty, $P_0 = 1 - P_{-\uparrow\downarrow}$, with $|\psi(t)\rangle = \exp(-itHc_0^\dagger)|\Phi_0\rangle$, at $U/D = 2.8$ and after having inserted a down-electron at $tD = 0$ at the impurity [for ease of comparison we plot $(1 - P_0)$]. For small $tD$, we observe a fast decay of the initially high double occupation and a corresponding increase of single occupations. At short times (up to $tD \approx 15$), we observe strongly damped oscillations corresponding to the Hubbard band, which has a very large imaginary part of the self-energy. For times $tD \gtrsim 25$, $P_{-\uparrow\downarrow}$ begins to oscillate at a different frequency $\omega/D = 0.63 \pm 0.02$. The energy of this oscillations matches the energy of the side-peak at $\omega/D \simeq 0.6$ almost perfectly.

$P_0$ on the other hand rises from exactly 0 to a small finite value, of about the same magnitude as $P_{+\uparrow\downarrow}$ (see Fig. 5) and shows oscillations with the same frequency as $P_{+\uparrow\downarrow}$ but shifted almost exactly by a phase of $\pi$ as compared to $P_{+\uparrow\downarrow}$. The oscillation in $1 - P_1$ are essentially in phase with those of $P_{+\uparrow\downarrow}$.

In Fig. 6, we show the time dependence of $P_{+\uparrow\downarrow}$ for different values of $U/D = 2.4, 2.6$, and 2.8. The inset shows a zoom on the side peak of the corresponding spectral functions $\pi DA(\omega)$. Vertical lines are drawn at the beating frequencies appearing at times $tD \gtrsim 25$. We see that the frequencies of the oscillations closely follow the energies of the Hubbard side peaks. We note that for $U/D = 1.0$, where the spectra are almost featureless, the time dependent occupations show no such long-lived oscillations.

Figure 7 shows an analysis similar to Fig. 5, but in the insulating phase for $U/D = 3.4$. In this case we solely observe oscillations corresponding to the Hubbard bands; a long-lived oscillation is not present, which means that in frequency space only the metallic solution has a sharp feature, in agreement with our DMFT spectra above.

Quite generally, an oscillation can be associated with a superposition of two eigenstates with an energy difference corresponding to the oscillation frequency. Adding an electron at time $tD = 0$ to the strongly correlated ground state of the one-band Hubbard model means, if Fourier transformed to energies, that we will obtain a superposition of eigenstates from all energies. At different energies above the Fermi energy, we have three distinct features: the central resonance around
ω/D = 0, the sharp side peak at ω/D ≈ 0.63 and the broad upper Hubbard band. Unless matrix elements vanish, we will hence have a superposition of states belonging to these energies. Due to the large imaginary part of the self-energy of the Hubbard band (see above), this part of the superposition will decohere on short time scales. After this short time, we will remain in a long lived superposition of states belonging to two sharp features, the central Kondo peak and the sharp resonance at the inner side of the Hubbard bands. This leads to the observed oscillations and the frequencies in Figs. 5 and 6.

D. Two-band Hubbard model

Finally, we present results of calculations for the two-band Hubbard model on the Bethe lattice with Hamiltonian (7). At half-filling, this model is known to become a Mott-Hubbard insulator, as soon as the interactions are large enough. It is important to note that the Hund coupling J is crucial to reach the insulating phase, and increasing J lowers the critical value \( U_{c2} \) substantially [62].

In order to check if our computational parameters in the two-band case allow for the occurrence of the sharp features in the Hubbard satellites, we first performed a test using \( U'/D = 0, J/D = 0 \), in which case the two-band problem decouples into two independent SIAMs. Indeed, using a value of \( U \) close enough to the transition we can still resolve the sharp features (not shown), meaning that the accuracy of the method is high enough also for the two-band case.

In Fig. 8(a), we now show results for an interaction strength \( U/D = 1.6 \) and Hund’s coupling \( J = U/4 \), which is close to the Mott phase [25]. We compare two different chain lengths and two different \( N_{t,\text{max}} \) in order to get an estimate of the accuracy of our results. The spectra are almost converged in the system size and show structure within the Hubbard bands.

Figure 8(b) compares our results with ones we obtained using a continuous-time QMC method to solve the two-orbital impurity problem. For the QMC, we employed a hybridization expansion algorithm in matrix form as implemented in the TRIQS package [17,18,64]. This allows us to perform calculations for the full rotationally invariant Hamiltonian Eq. (7) at low temperatures, \( \beta D = 500 \). The imaginary-time spectra of QMC have been continued to the real frequency axis using a stochastic maximum-entropy method [65] and alternatively Padé approximants. The qualitative agreement of the position of the Hubbard bands is satisfactory. The features in the Hubbard satellites, which are seen in the TEBD results, however, are absent in both analytically continued spectra. (When the separation of these features becomes larger one can, however, resolve the transitions from different atomic states in the analytic continuation [66]).

In the atomic limit \( U/D \to \infty \), a simple analysis shows that the system has only a single one-particle excitation at \( \omega = \pm (U + J)/2 \) for two electrons per site. The structure in the Hubbard bands thus originates from admixtures to the ground state with three and one electrons on a site.

In Fig. 9, we plot the spectral function of the two-band Hubbard model for \( U/D = 1.8, J = U/4 \) (green solid line), which is already quite close the MI transition. In this case, the Hubbard satellites acquire an even richer structure than in the single-band case: as in the latter, we observe the emergence of very sharp features at the inner edges of the Hubbard bands. This suggest that this may be a generic property of the Hubbard model and, to our best knowledge, is the first evidence of these in a multiorbital Hubbard model. Additionally, at higher energies, we observe less pronounced features, which are probably related to the Hund’s coupling \( J/D \).

In Fig. 10, we show results for \( U/D = 2.2, J = U/4 (N_1 = N_2 = 90, \text{see caption for other parameters}) \), which is already in the insulating phase of the system. Substructures in the Hubbard band are visible, though much less pronounced than on the metallic side of the transition. This is in agreement with the fact that the atomic limit of the system shows no splitting (see above). The position of the Hubbard peaks is also already quite well described by the atomic excitations \( \omega = \pm (U + J)/2 \). Importantly, we do not observe any sharp features at the band edges. We note that in this parameter regime calculations are less costly and convergence is much faster than for the metallic case close to the transition.
high-energy tails show slower decay as compared to the single-band case. We do not observe any sharp features. Also note that the phase. Substructures in the Hubbard band are still visible, though less pronounced. We applied the time evolving block decimation (TEBD) algorithm to construct an impurity solver for dynamical mean-field theory for the single- and two-band Hubbard models on the $\mathbb{Z} \to \infty$ Bethe lattice. Our method is parallelizable [67] and scalable to multiband impurity systems. It works directly at zero temperature and real frequency, without the need for analytic continuation and it produces very accurate results as an impurity solver with high resolution at all frequencies. We applied our method to DMFT for the single-band Hubbard model, where we confirm the existence of a sharp feature at the inner edges of the Hubbard bands. Our results are comparable to the ones obtained in Refs. [39,40], but contrast with ED [23] and NRG [24] results, where this feature is barely present at higher values of $U/D$. We find a shift of the peak position as a function of $U/D$. The flexibility and speed of our method allows for accurate parameter studies and real-time dynamics. With respect to the latter we found that adding an electron instantaneously on a lattice results in long-time oscillations of the double, single, and zero occupation on this site. We interpret these long-time oscillations as being caused by a superposition of the central Kondo resonance and the sharp side feature in the Hubbard bands. Furthermore, we also applied the method to the two-band Hubbard model on the Bethe lattice. As we approach the phase transition from the metallic side, we observe the developing of rich structures in the Hubbard bands, which are not resolved by our QMC. In particular, we observe the emergence of sharp side peaks, similar to the one-band case. This suggests that these features are a generic property also of multi-orbital Hubbard models close to metal- to Mott-insulator phase transitions. We note that this method is also well suited for applications within nonequilibrium DMFT [68] and nonequilibrium master equation approaches [69].

V. CONCLUSIONS

We applied the time evolving block decimation (TEBD) algorithm to construct an impurity solver for dynamical mean-field theory for the single- and two-band Hubbard models on the $\mathbb{Z} \to \infty$ Bethe lattice. Our method is parallelizable [67] and scalable to multiband impurity systems. It works directly at zero temperature and real frequency, without the need for analytic continuation and it produces very accurate results as an impurity solver with high resolution at all frequencies. We applied our method to DMFT for the single-band Hubbard model, where we confirm the existence of a sharp feature at the inner edges of the Hubbard bands. Our results are comparable to the ones obtained in Refs. [39,40], but contrast with ED [23] and NRG [24] results, where this feature is barely present at higher values of $U/D$. We find a shift of the peak position as a function of $U/D$. The flexibility and speed of our method allows for accurate parameter studies and real-time dynamics. With respect to the latter we found that adding an electron instantaneously on a lattice results in long-time oscillations of the double, single, and zero occupation on this site. We interpret these long-time oscillations as being caused by a superposition of the central Kondo resonance and the sharp side feature in the Hubbard bands. Furthermore, we also applied the method to the two-band Hubbard model on the Bethe lattice. As we approach the phase transition from the metallic side, we observe the developing of rich structures in the Hubbard bands, which are not resolved by our QMC. In particular, we observe the emergence of sharp side peaks, similar to the one-band case. This suggests that these features are a generic property also of multi-orbital Hubbard models close to metal- to Mott-insulator phase transitions. We note that this method is also well suited for applications within nonequilibrium DMFT [68] and nonequilibrium master equation approaches [69].
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APPENDIX

1. Benchmark

We tested the validity and precision of our method as an impurity solver for the case of the single impurity Anderson model at parameters for which results are available from the most precise technique to date, namely the dynamical DMRG [36,39,40,46]. We used a semicircular bath DOS $\rho(\omega) = \frac{2}{\pi \Gamma} \sqrt{\Gamma^2 - \omega^2}$ and a hybridization strength $\Gamma = \pi V^2 \rho(0) = 0.5$ (corresponding to a uniform hybridization $V = 0.5$) [9], at an interaction strength of $U/\Gamma = 6.0$. Spectra are found in Fig. 11. We note that DDMRG involves separate calculations at each frequency and a de-
convolution of the resulting spectra. The agreement is almost perfect.

2. Parameter studies for prediction

Here we present more detailed results on the influence of the prediction parameters $\delta$ and the number of measured data points $N_{t,\text{max}}$. In Fig. 12, we show a DMFT-spectrum with $U/D = 2.8, N = 120, \chi = 500, N_{t,\text{max}} = 350, \delta = 10^{-4}$ and large eigenvalues normalized to unity (black solid line).

It is instructive to take these converged results and from the data of the last iteration calculate the spectral function with different pseudo-inverse cutoffs $\delta$ and different treatment of large eigenvalues. We see that setting eigenvalues to unity tends to produce an overshoot at $\omega/D = 0$, whereas results with zeroed eigenvalues are stable and converged at $\delta \leq 10^{-6}$. This behavior remains the same when doing full DMFT cycles. For eigenvalues rescaled to unity, we also observe that for small $\delta < 10^{-6}$ the prediction can pick up errors due to truncation.
and the Trotter breakup, leading to artificial structures in the spectral functions. We conclude that converged results can best be obtained by setting large eigenvalues to zero and choosing $\delta \leq 10^{-6}$.

In Fig. 13, we analyze the effect of $N_{t,\text{max}}$ on the fixed point of the DMFT iterations for $U/D = 2.8, \chi = 500$ and $\epsilon_{fw} = 10^{-10}$. We take $N = 150$, large enough to use different $N_{t,\text{max}}$ without getting reflections from the boundaries of the system, which would spoil the linear prediction. We observe a very slight nonmonotonic behavior of the Hubbard side peak height as well as the peak position (left inset). The quasiparticle peak height shows the same nonmonotonic behavior (right inset). We attribute this behavior to truncation and Trotter effects, which become stronger for increasing $N_{t,\text{max}}$, also confirmed by tiny artificial structures in the pseudo-gap region for $N_{t,\text{max}} = 450$. The dependence of the fixed point on $N_{t,\text{max}}$ is very small. Using a large $N_{t,\text{max}} = 450$ does not improve systematically on the results, hence for computational efficiency we use $N_{t,\text{max}} = 350$ in the main paper.

3. Time dependence of $G^\omega(tD)$

We complement the time-dependent analysis of local observables by showing the evolution of the time-dependent Greens function, from which the spectral function $A(\omega)$ is obtained by Fourier transformation to the frequency domain. In Fig. 14, we show the time dependence of the bigger Greens function $G^\omega(tD)$ for $U/D = 2.8$ [parameters the same as in Fig. 4]. The main figure shows the MPS results, which nicely display the beating related to the side peak in the Hubbard bands. The inset shows the time series obtained from linear prediction, all the way to the long-time limit used in the Fourier transformation.

[63] In the one-band case, $N_{t,\text{max}} = 200$ and 350 correspond to total simulated times of $t_n D = 0.15625 n = 31.25$ and 54.7, respectively; in the two-band case, $N_{t,\text{max}} = 107$ and 147 correspond to $t_n D = 0.375 n = 40.1$ and 55.1.